

## EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 087897,217 07/14/97 DEAN P-2057/723

Address:

LM02/0315.

**EXAMINER** BULLOCK

SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH PA P 0 BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

**ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2755

DATE MAILED:

03/15/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/897,217

Applicant(s)

Dawson F. Dean

Examiner

Lewis Bullock, Jr.

Group Art Unit 2755



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 16, 2000</u>	
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	umber)
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	10 mile
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	NI TUE FOLLOWING BACES
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/897,217

Art Unit: 2755

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: claims 1-15 and 22 are directed to execution of procedure calls by a document retrieving computer process and claims 16-21 is directed to execution of procedure calls by an requesting applet when recieving part of a document.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 2755

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439.

ALVIN E. OBERLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700

lab

March 2, 2000